

## $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	UAL (INS1, INS2) NSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
AIRS ID#: 7770156 DATE: <u>03/20/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>~8:00 am</u> DEPART: <u>~8:40 am</u>						
FACILITY NAME: MASTER ROCK, LLC @ ATLANTIC CONCRETE						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1701 Myrtle St						
	SARASOTA 34234-48	17				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REI	PRESENTATIVE: PATI	RICIA SUNQUIST PHONE	<b>:</b> (941)342-7415			
CONTACT NAME:						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/14/2008 / 3/14/2013 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> box)	N OF FACILITY TYPE/A	APPLICABILITY				
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at not mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)						
☐ FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)						
grinding mills; facilities not sand & gravel plants, & crus	subject to subparts F (Port shed stone plants w/capacit w/capacities of 136 megag	cland Cement Plants) or I (Hot littles of 23 megagrams/hr (25 to	reening operations at plants w/o crushers or Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> ns/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel common clay plants, and pumice plants			

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?   Yes No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other
affected emission point:
**a) exceed 7% percent opacity?
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,
Appendix A)?
**2. Do visible emissions from any:
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%
percent opacity? \boxed{\sum}Yes \boxed{\subseteq} No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <b>NOT</b> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to #4.a))
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If
answer to this question is $\underline{NO}$ , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If $\underline{YES}$ skip to #4.c).) $\underline{\square}$ Yes $\underline{\square}$ No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:
1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?  Yes No
2) the opacity greater than 7% percent?
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed <u>10</u> % percent opacity?
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?   Yes  No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin
in the production line? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
(check \( \mathbb{L} \) appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)   Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:  a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit patification form?  [Ves. ] No.
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.  4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C. )[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
<u>Facility</u> <u>and/or</u> <u>Equipment</u> <u>Replacement</u> **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total  surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  Yes No  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?    Yes No  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?
test?

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	]Yes □ No
Process Changes	J L.
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i> )	]Yes  No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? ( <i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	]Yes □ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated</i>	]105 [] 110
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672	( <b>h</b> ).)
	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
	Yes No
Notification Requirements  **12. We notification of the actual data of startum for each offseted or combination of offseted facilities	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	Yes No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	]163 🔯 140
	Yes 🗌 No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	_
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	Yes No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsi	Yes □ No ite
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?</li></ol>	
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	Yes   No

	TV: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Con	tinued)
(c	Pheck <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
**2	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Oc adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b)</i> , <i>below.</i> )	to
**	*a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	□Yes □ No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	_
**	*b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐Yes ☐ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	Yes No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	er
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes □ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	Yes No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	∐Yes ∐ No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	☐Yes ☐ No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	□Yes □ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
_	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no	ot
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
_	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	☐Yes ☐ No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	☐Yes ⊠ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	∐Yes ∐ No

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-							
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))							
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)							
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral pro	cessing plant take reasonable precautions to contr	ol unconfined					
emissions by:							
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars lo	ocated at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the						
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor		⊠Yes ☐ No					
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		llowing:					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?							
2) application of water or environmentally safe dus							
	,,,,,						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and oth							
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas		⊠Yes □ No					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of							
		⊠Yes □ No					
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?							
		☐ Yes ⊠ No					
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipm							
		☐Yes ⊠ No					
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	·	□Yes ⊠ No					
PART VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alteration of existing process equipment without a c) replacement of existing equipment substantially derecent notification form?	replacement?lifferent than that noted on the most e owner submit a new and complete 050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes         ☐No           ☐Yes         ☐No           ☐Yes         ☐No           ☐Yes         ☐No					
Debbie Telemeco Anders, ESII	03/20/2008						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_					
	~ 2009						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_					
COMMENTS: INS 2. Debbie Telemeco Anders did a walk th	rough inspection of the facility.						